district court determines that the action was dismissed because it was frivolous, malicious or failed to state a claim." Andrews v. King, 398 F.3d 1113, 1121 (9th Cir. 2005). "In some instances, the district court docket records may be sufficient to show that a prior dismissal satisfies at least one of the criteria under § 1915(g) and therefore counts as a strike." Id. at 1120.

At least three of Plaintiff's prior lawsuits qualify as "strikes" under § 1915(g):

- (1) Medley v. Maricopa County Superior Court, 01-CV-2130-PHX-MHM (DKD) (Nov. 21, 2001 Order dismissing for failure to state a claim);
- (2) <u>Medley v. Arpaio</u>, 08-CV-101-PHX-MHM (DKD) (Feb. 21, 2008 Order dismissing for failure to state a claim); and
- (3) <u>Medley v. Overton</u>, 08-CV-590-PHX-MHM (DKD) (May 8, 2008 Order dismissing for failure to state a claim).¹

Therefore, Plaintiff may not bring a civil action without complete prepayment of the \$350.00 filing fee unless she is in imminent danger of serious physical injury. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

II. Imminent Danger

A plaintiff who has three or more strikes may not bring a civil action without complete prepayment of the \$350.00 filing fee unless she is in imminent danger of serious physical injury. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). To meet the "imminent danger" requirement, the "threat or prison condition [must be] real and proximate," <u>Ciarpaglini v. Saini</u>, 352 F.3d 328, 330 (7th Cir. 2003) (quoting <u>Lewis v. Sullivan</u>, 279 F.3d 526, 531 (7th Cir. 2002)), and the allegations must be "specific or credible." <u>Kinnell v. Graves</u>, 265 F.3d 1125, 1128 (10th Cir. 2001).

Plaintiff does not allege that she is in imminent danger of serious physical injury and her allegations do not support such a finding. Thus, the Court will deny the Application to Proceed and will dismiss without prejudice Plaintiff's Complaint and this action, pursuant

¹The Court identified these strikes in a November 5, 2010 Order to Show Cause in Medley v. Arizona, 10-CV-1971-PHX-MHM (DKD). In the Order to Show Cause, the Court gave Plaintiff 30 days to show cause why the dismissals of her prior lawsuits should not prevent her from proceeding *in forma pauperis*. Plaintiff did not respond to the Order to Show Cause.

Case 2:11-cv-00526-GMS--DKD Document 5 Filed 03/23/11 Page 3 of 3